UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re: Chapter 11 INTEGRATED HEALTH SERVICES, INC., et al., Case No. 00-389 (MFW) Debtors. (Jointly Administered) IHS LIQUIDATING LLC, Adv. Pro. No. 05-51318(MFW) Plaintiff. v. ACE INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY f/k/a INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, Defendant. IHS LIQUIDATING LLC, Third-Party Plaintiff, v. NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA., GENERAL STAR INDEMNITY COMPANY. and ACE INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY f/k/a INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, Third-Party Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

This matter having come before the Court on the motion (the "Motion") of IHS

Liquidating LLC (the "Liquidating LLC"), filed pursuant to Rule 7056 of the Federal Rules of

Bankruptcy Procedure, by which the Liquidating LLC has requested an award of partial

summary judgment in its favor: (a) granting the declaratory relief sought in the First Claim for

Case 1:05-cv-00376-GMS

ORDERED, that the Motion is granted in its entirety; and it is further ORDERED, that the Clerk is directed to enter judgment herein:

- (i) severing the First Claim for Relief in the Complaint and granting the relief requested therein;
- (ii) declaring, decreeing and adjudging that Excess Liability Catastrophe Policy XLX G19545507 (the "Policy") issued by Indemnity Insurance Company of North America now known as Ace Indemnity Insurance Company ("IICNA") obligates IICNA to pay covered claims that have been liquidated in amount, by judgment or settlement, to the extent that such liquidated claims are in excess of the applicable per occurrence or aggregate limits of the Debtors' underlying primary and excess insurance coverage, notwithstanding that the full limits of the Debtors' underlying primary coverage have not been paid out in cash due to the bankruptcy and insolvency of the insured and its primary insurer;
 - (iii) denying the relief requested in the Counterclaim, with prejudice:
 - (iv) dismissing the Counterclaim with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED, that this Order does not address coverage issues that may arise under the Policy nor does this Order determine whether in fact the "Retained Limit" has been exhausted,

and it is further

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters

arising from the implementation of this Order.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware January ___, 2006

THE HONODADLE MADVE WALDATH

THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE